

Sequentially Closed and Absolutely Closed Spaces.

D. DIKRANJAN - I. GOTCHEV

Sunto. - Si introduce il concetto di spazio sequenzialmente \mathcal{F} -chiuso. Si dimostra che per alcune categorie \mathcal{F} definite tramite successioni, questi spazi sono strettamente legati sia agli spazi numerabilmente compatti e spazi sequenzialmente compatti, sia agli spazi assolutamente \mathcal{F} -chiusi nel senso di [5] e [7].

0. - Introduction.

Let \mathcal{F} be a category of topological spaces, a \mathcal{F} space X is said to be *sequentially (absolutely) \mathcal{F} -closed* if it is sequentially closed (equalizer of two continuous maps into a \mathcal{F} space) in every \mathcal{F} -space Y in which it is embedded. We remind here that the equalizer of two maps $f, g: Y \rightarrow Z$ is the subspace of Y with the subset $\{y \in Y: f(y) = g(y)\}$ as underlying set. It is clear that if \mathcal{F} is contained in the category Haus of Hausdorff spaces, then every absolutely \mathcal{F} -closed space is \mathcal{F} -closed since every equalizer in \mathcal{F} is a closed subspace.

The concept of an absolutely \mathcal{F} -closed space was introduced by Giuli and the first named author in [7] and developed later in [5] and [8]. While for $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Haus}$ the \mathcal{F} -closed spaces abound and are well studied (see [2]), for $\mathcal{F} \not\subset \text{Haus}$ the \mathcal{F} -closed spaces are quite rare. For example no T_0 -closed spaces exist and every T_1 -closed space is finite ([1]), other examples are given in §2 of the present paper. This is why the absolutely \mathcal{F} -closed spaces can be considered as a substitute of the \mathcal{F} -closed spaces in this case. In fact, for all categories \mathcal{F} of weakly Hausdorff spaces considered in [4], [9], [11] and [12] the absolute \mathcal{F} -closedness implies the \mathcal{F} -closedness since for these categories \mathcal{F} every closed subspace is an equalizer in \mathcal{F} . On the other hand the definition of an absolutely \mathcal{F} -closed space is purely categorical and this permits to define analogously absolutely \mathcal{F} -closed objects in various (also abstract) categories \mathcal{F} . For example absolutely closed semigroups and algebras were introduced by Isbell in 1965, more about absolutely closed

objects can be found in [8]. Absolutely \mathcal{F} -closed spaces for some categories $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Haus}$ were studied in [5].

In this paper we introduce the concept of a sequentially closed space and we show that these spaces are also a good substitute of the \mathcal{F} -closed spaces to certain extent. Let US (SUS) denote the category of all topological spaces in which every convergent sequence has a unique limit (cluster) point. It is easy to see that if $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{US}$ ($\mathcal{F} \subset \text{SUS}$) then every sequentially compact (countably compact) \mathcal{F} -space is sequentially \mathcal{F} -closed. In §1 we show that the converse is also true, i.e. sequential US-closedness implies sequential compactness and sequential SUS-closedness implies countable compactness. On the other hand in §2 we show that every US-closed space is finite and every SUS-closed space is a finite union of converging sequences. Therefore for $\mathcal{F} = \text{US}$ and SUS the sequential \mathcal{F} -closedness seems to be a really good substitute of the \mathcal{F} -closedness.

Tozzi [12] proved that the equalizers in SUS are precisely the sequentially closed subspaces, this is why the sequentially SUS-closed spaces coincide also with the absolutely SUS-closed spaces. On the other hand every absolutely US-closed space is sequentially compact, while the converse is not true in general (see §2). We show that every countable sequentially compact US-space X is absolutely US-closed and conjecture that this remains true whenever $|X| < 2^{\aleph_0}$.

In a subsequent paper [10] the second named author continues the study of sequentially \mathcal{F} -closed spaces for $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Haus}$.

It is a pleasure to thank E. Giuli for many stimulating discussions on the absolutely \mathcal{F} -closed spaces during his stay in Sofia in 1985.

1. - Sequentially \mathcal{F} -closed spaces.

We denote by \mathbb{N} the (discrete) set of naturals. A sequence in a topological space X will be considered as a map $S: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$. Let MON denote the set of all monotone one-to-one maps $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, then a subsequence of S is a composition $S \circ S'$ for some $S' \in \text{MON}$. For a sequence S in X we denote by $\lim S$ the set of limit points of S . Clearly $X \in \text{US}$ if $|\lim S| \leq 1$ for every sequence S in X , where $|M|$ denotes the cardinality of a set M . For a subset M of a topological space X we denote by \bar{M} the closure of M and by $[M]_s$ the sequential closure of M ; i.e. $[M]_s = \{x \in X: x \in \lim S \text{ for some sequence } S \text{ in } M\}$. For a sequence S we denote by $S(\mathbb{N})$ the range of S .

Let A be a countably infinite subset of a topological space X . The open filter on X having as a base all open sets in X containing all but a finite number of the elements of A will be called *elementary open filter generated by A* . It will be shown below that if $X \in \text{US}$ then two countable subsets A' and A'' of X generate the same open elementary filter iff the symmetric difference

$$(A' \setminus A'') \cup (A'' \setminus A')$$

is finite (the sufficiency is obvious). Sometimes we shall avoid mentioning explicitly the countable set generating such a filter \mathcal{F} , in such a case we refer to \mathcal{F} as an open elementary filter. These filters are introduced by the second named author in [10] following the definition of elementary filter in Bourbaki [3], chap. 1, § 6, 8°.

For an open filter \mathcal{F} on a topological space X we denote by $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ the standard one-point extension of X by means of \mathcal{F} . This is the set $X \cup \{\mathcal{F}\}$ provided with a topology which makes X open in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$, the relative topology of X coincides with the original topology of X and a *nbđ* of the point $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ has the form $\{\mathcal{F}\} \cup F_{\alpha}$, where $F_{\alpha} \subset X$ and $F_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}$. If X is T_1 , then $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is T_1 iff

$$\bigcap \{U : U \in \mathcal{F}\} = \emptyset.$$

On the other hand $[X]_s = X_{\mathcal{F}}$ iff \mathcal{F} is contained in some elementary open filter on X . If $X \in \text{US}$ and \mathcal{F} is an elementary open filter on X , then every sequence in X converging to $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ in X is eventually in the countable subset of X generating \mathcal{F} (see lemma 1.2 below).

LEMMA 1.1. - *Let X be a T_1 space and let A be a countable subset of X . Then for every non-negative integer m and for every sequence S in X satisfying $|[S(\mathbb{N})]_s \cap A| \leq m$ there exists a subsequence S' of S such that $|\overline{S'(\mathbb{N})} \cap A| \leq m$.*

PROOF. - Let S and m satisfy the hypothesis, then there exists a subset B of A such that $|A \setminus B| \leq m$ and

$$(1) \quad [S(\mathbb{N})]_s \cap B = \emptyset.$$

If A is finite there is nothing to prove, so assume that A is infinite, then B is also infinite. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$ be an ordering of B . Since $x_1 \notin \lim S$ by (1) there exist an open *nbđ* U_1 of x_1 and a subsequence $S \circ S_1 (S_1 \in \text{MON})$ such that $U_1 \cap (S \circ S_1(\mathbb{N})) = \emptyset$. Since $x_2 \notin \lim S \circ S_1$ by (1) there exist an open *nbđ* U_2 of x_2 and a subsequence of $S \circ S_1$ defined by $S_2 \in \text{MON}$ such that $U_2 \cap (S \circ S_1 \circ S_2(\mathbb{N})) = \emptyset$. In this way we find inductively a sequence of open

sets $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n, \dots$ and $S_n \in \text{MON}$, such that

$$(2) \quad x_n \in U_n \text{ and } U_n \cap (S \circ S_1 \circ S_2 \circ \dots \circ S_n(\mathbb{N})) = \emptyset \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Set

$$S'(n) = S \circ S_1 \circ \dots \circ S_n(n)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then S' is a subsequence of S with the desired properties. In fact for every $x_n \in B$, $U_n \cap (S'(\mathbb{N})) \subset U_n \cap \{S'(i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ because of (2). Since X is T_1 and the latter set is finite there exists a *nbd* U'_n of x_n which avoids the sequence S' . Thus $S'(\mathbb{N}) \cup B = \emptyset$, so $|S'(\mathbb{N}) \cap A| \leq m$. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 1.2. - *Let $X \in \text{US}$, A be a countably infinite subset of X and \mathcal{F} be the open elementary filter generated by A . Then for every sequence S in X $\{\mathcal{F}\} \in \lim S$ in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ iff S is eventually in A , i.e. $S(\mathbb{N}) \setminus A$ is finite.*

PROOF. - The sufficiency is obvious since for every ordering $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots$ of A the sequence $\{a_n\}$ converges to $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Now assume that S is a sequence in X with $\{\mathcal{F}\} \in \lim S$ and $S(\mathbb{N}) \setminus A$ is infinite. We can assume wlog that $S(\mathbb{N}) \cap A = \emptyset$. Let $S' \in \text{MON}$ be such that $S \circ S'$ is convergent in X , if such S' exists, otherwise $S' = id_{\mathbb{N}}$. Now by $X \in \text{US}$ $|(S \circ S'(\mathbb{N}))_s \cap A| \leq 1$, so by the previous lemma there exists $S'' \in \text{MON}$ such that $|S \circ S' \circ S''(\mathbb{N}) \cap A| \leq 1$. Then $\{\mathcal{F}\} \cup (X \setminus S \circ S' \circ S''(\mathbb{N}))$ is an open *nbd* of $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ which avoids the subsequence $S \circ S' \circ S''$ of S —a contradiction. Q.E.D.

The last lemma shows that in a US-space every open elementary filter determines its generating countable set up to a finite subset.

THEOREM 1.3. - *An US-space is sequentially US-closed iff it is sequentially compact.*

PROOF. - The sufficiency is obvious, so we prove the necessity. Assume that X is a US-space which is not sequentially compact. Then there exists a sequence S in X with no convergent subsequences. Denote by \mathcal{F} the open elementary filter generated by $A = S(\mathbb{N})$ in X . Then X is not sequentially closed in its extension $X_{\mathcal{F}}$, so it suffices to show that $X_{\mathcal{F}} \in \text{US}$ this will prove that X is not sequentially US-closed.

Let S' be a convergent sequence in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. Consider first the case $\{\mathcal{F}\} \in \lim S'$. If S' coincides definitely with the constant sequence $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ then clearly S' has no limit points in X since $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ is T_1 . Otherwise $S'(\mathbb{N}) \cap X$ gives a subsequence of S which by lemma 1.2 is

eventually in A , so it has no convergent subsequences in X . Therefore S' has no other limit point in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$.

If $\{\mathcal{F}\} \notin \lim S'$, then S' is eventually in X since for every $x \in \lim S'$ X is an open *nbd*. Now $X \in \text{US}$ implies that S' has a unique limit point. This proves that $X_{\mathcal{F}} \in \text{US}$. **Q.E.D.**

Here follows the counterpart for SUS.

THEOREM 1.4. - *Let X be an SUS-space. Then X is sequentially SUS-closed iff X is countably compact.*

PROOF. - The sufficiency is obvious, so we prove the necessity.

It is enough to show that if X is not countably compact then X is not sequentially SUS-closed. Let A be a countably infinite subset of X with no cluster points in X . Denote by \mathcal{F} the elementary open filter generated by A in X . As in the above proof it suffices to show that $X_{\mathcal{F}} \in \text{SUS}$.

Let S be a convergent sequence in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. If $\{\mathcal{F}\} \notin \lim S$ then S is definitely in X , so it has a unique cluster point in X since $X \in \text{SUS}$. Let us see that $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ is not a cluster point of S in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. The argument applied in the above proof gives $X_{\mathcal{F}} \in \text{US}$, thus no subsequence of S converges to $\{\mathcal{F}\}$. In particular $S(\mathbb{N}) \cap A$ is finite. Since $X \in \text{SUS}$ and S is convergent in X , it follows that $\overline{S(\mathbb{N})} \cap A$ is finite too. Now $U = X \setminus \overline{S(\mathbb{N})}$ is open and $A \setminus U$ is finite, so $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ is not a cluster point of S , so S has a unique cluster point in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Assume that $\{\mathcal{F}\} \in \lim S$, then by lemma 1.2 it follows that the sequence S is eventually in the subset A of X . Since A has no cluster points in X the proof is finished. **Q.E.D.**

Now we give four more examples.

EXAMPLE 1.5. - (a) The sequentially T_1 -closed spaces are finite. In fact for every infinite T_1 space X the filter \mathcal{F} of cofinite subset of X gives a T_1 extension of $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ with $[X]_{\mathcal{F}} = X_{\mathcal{F}}$.

(b) Let $m \geq \aleph_0$ be a cardinal number and let X_m be the space provided with the cofinite topology and having cardinality m . Denote by \mathcal{C}_m the category of topological spaces X such that every continuous map $f: X_m \rightarrow X$ is constant ([11]). In fact \mathcal{C}_m coincides with those T_1 spaces which do not contain copies of X_m (Th. 2.7, [11]). The same argument as above shows that for each cardinal m the sequentially \mathcal{C}_m -closed spaces are precisely the finite T_1 spaces.

(c) Let m be as in (b) and \mathcal{F}_m denote the category of topological spaces in which every subspace of cardinality at most m is

Hausdorff. It is easy to see that $X \in \mathfrak{F}_m$ is \mathfrak{F}_m -closed iff for every open filter \mathcal{F} on X there exists a subset M of X and $|M| \leq m$, such that the filter $\mathcal{F}|_M$ generated by the intersections $M \cap F$, where $F \in \mathcal{F}$, has an adherent point in X . Now it is clear that X is sequentially \mathfrak{F}_m -closed iff for every open filter \mathcal{F} on X which is contained in some elementary open filter on X there exists a subset M of X with $|M| \leq m$ such that $\mathcal{F}|_M$ has adherent points in X .

(d) Let m be as in (b), intersections of families of cardinality at most m of open subsets of a topological space will be called G_m sets. In particular the G_{\aleph_1} sets are the well known G_δ sets. Denote by \mathfrak{D}_m the category of topological spaces in which distinct points can be separated by disjoint G_m sets (see [6]). Obviously $X \in \mathfrak{D}_m$ is \mathfrak{D}_m -closed (sequentially \mathfrak{D}_m -closed) iff for every open filter \mathcal{F} (contained in some elementary open filter on X) there exists a point x in X such that for every family $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of members of \mathcal{F} with $|A| \leq m$ and for every G_m set U in X containing x $U \cap \bigcap \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \neq \emptyset$.

2. - Absolutely \mathfrak{F} -closed spaces for $\mathfrak{F} = \text{US}$ and SUS .

The absolutely \mathfrak{F} -closed spaces in various categories \mathfrak{F} are studied in [8], here we stress on the case $\mathfrak{F} = \text{US}$ and SUS because of its relation to sequentially \mathfrak{F} -closed spaces.

The next theorem follows directly from theorem 1.4 and the definition of absolutely SUS -closed spaces (see the introduction).

THEOREM 2.1. - *For a space $X \in \text{SUS}$ the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- a) X is absolutely SUS -closed;
- b) X is sequentially SUS -closed;
- c) X is countably compact.

For a subset M of a topological space X denote by \overline{M} the set of points $x \in X$ such that there exists a sequence S in X with $x \in \lim S$ such that for every $S' \in \text{MON}$ $x \in \overline{S \circ S'(\mathbb{N})} \cap M$. It was proved by Tozzi [12] (and in a more general situation in [4]), that if $X \in \text{US}$ and $M \subset X$, then M is an equalizer of two continuous maps into a US -space iff $M = \overline{M}$.

LEMMA 2.2. - *Every absolutely US -closed space is sequentially US -closed, so sequentially compact.*

PROOF. - It is enough to remark that always $[M]_s \subset M$ holds. Q.E.D.

In the opposite direction the following can be proved.

PROPOSITION 2.3. - *Every countable sequentially compact US-space is absolutely US-closed.*

PROOF. - Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$ be a sequentially compact US-space. Assume that X is not absolutely US-closed. Then there exists a US-space Y containing X as a subspace such that $\overline{X} \neq X$. Let y be a point in $\overline{X} \setminus X$, then there exists a sequence S in Y converging to y such that $y \in \overline{S \circ S'(\mathbb{N})} \cap X$ for every $S' \in \text{MON}$. By $Y \in \text{US}$ $x_1 \notin \lim S$, so there exist a *nbđ* U_1 of x_1 and $S_1 \in \text{MON}$ such that $S \circ S_1(\mathbb{N}) \cap U_1 = \emptyset$. Again by $Y \in \text{US}$ $x_2 \notin \lim S \circ S_1$, so there exist a *nbđ* U_2 of x_2 and $S_2 \in \text{MON}$ such that $S \circ S_1 \circ S_2(\mathbb{N}) \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. We find inductively open sets $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n, \dots$ and $S_n \in \text{MON}$ such that $x_n \in U_n$ and $S \circ S_1 \circ S_2 \circ \dots \circ S_n(\mathbb{N}) \cap U_n = \emptyset$ for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Define S' by $S'(n) = S \circ S_1 \circ S_2 \circ \dots \circ S_n(n)$, then for every $n = 1, 2, \dots, S'(\mathbb{N}) \cap U_n$ is finite. Since Y is T_1 this proves that $\overline{S'(\mathbb{N})} \cap X = S'(\mathbb{N}) \cap X$. Assume that this intersection is infinite, then by the sequential compactness of X S' has a convergent subsequence in X which contradicts $Y \in \text{US}$.

The above argument supplied a subsequence S' of S such that $\overline{S'(\mathbb{N})} \cap X$ is finite, this contradicts the initial property of S . Therefore X is absolutely US-closed. Q.E.D.

The next proposition shows that the converse in lemma 2.2 is not true in general.

PROPOSITION 2.4. - *A space $X \in \text{SUS}$ is not absolutely US-closed whenever the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $|X| \geq 2^{\aleph_1}$;
- ii) every point of X has a *nbđ* U with $|U| < 2^{\aleph_1}$.

PROOF. - By $d(Y)$ we denote the density of a topological space Y . It is well known that $d(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}) = 2^{\aleph_1}$, this is why there exists a (discontinuous) map $f: X \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, such that $f(X)$ is dense in $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Now define a space $Y = \{y\} \cup \mathbb{N} \cup X$ with the following topology. The *nbds* of y are of the form $\{y\} \cup D \cup U$, where D is a cofinite subset of \mathbb{N} and U is a subset of X whose complement is contained in a finite union of ranges of convergent sequences in X ; the points of \mathbb{N} are isolated and the basic *nbds* of the points x of X are of the form $U \cup V$, where U is an open subset of X con-

taining x and V is a subset of \mathbb{N} such that $V \in f(y)$ for every $y \in U$ (the points of $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ will be identified with ultrafilters in \mathbb{N}). Clearly for every $D \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$, $x \in \bar{D}$ in Y iff $f(x) \in \bar{D}^{\beta\mathbb{N}}$.

We are going to show that $Y \in \text{US}$ and $y \in X$ in Y which will prove that X is not absolutely US-closed.

Denote by S_0 the sequence in X defined by the identity of \mathbb{N} . To establish $Y \in \text{US}$ it is enough to show that no subsequence S of S_0 converges to points x of X . In fact by ii) there exists an open *nbd* U of x in X with $|U| < 2^{\aleph_0}$. Let $Z = \overline{S(\mathbb{N})}^{\beta\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, since $d(Z) = 2^{\aleph_0}$, it follows that $f(U) \cap Z$ is not dense in Z . Hence there exists a non-empty open set W in Z with $W \cap f(U) = \emptyset$. We can assume wlog that $W = \overline{S'(\mathbb{N})}^{\beta\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, for some subsequence S' of S . For every $y \in U$ there exists a subset V_y of \mathbb{N} such that $V_y \in f(y)$ and $V_y \cap S'(\mathbb{N}) = \emptyset$. Then $V = \{V_y : y \in U\}$ is a subset of \mathbb{N} such that $U \cup V$ is a *nbd* of x in Y which avoids the subsequence S' of S . Therefore S does not converge to x .

Let us prove finally that $y \in X$ in Y . Obviously $y = \lim S_0$. Let S be a subsequence of S_0 , then $Z = \overline{S(\mathbb{N})}^{\beta\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ is homeomorphic to $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, so $d(Z) = 2^{\aleph_0}$. On the other hand Z is open in $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, so $Z \cap f(X)$ is dense in Z , hence $|Z \cap f(X)| \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$. As we remarked above $f(x) \in Z$ implies $x \in \overline{S(\mathbb{N})}$, so $|\overline{S(\mathbb{N})} \cap X| \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$. Therefore $y \in \overline{S(\mathbb{N})} \cup X$ which proves $y \in X$. Q.E.D.

EXAMPLE 2.5. – There exist sequentially compact SUS-spaces which are not absolutely US-closed. By virtue of the above proposition it is enough to find sequentially compact SUS-spaces satisfying i) and ii). Such a space is for example the space of all ordinals less than the initial ordinal of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} provided with the order topology. Since finite products of sequentially compact SUS-spaces satisfying i) and ii) have again the same properties we obtain immediately infinitely many such examples.

The following conjecture seems rather plausible.

CONJECTURE. – *Every sequentially compact US-space of cardinality less than 2^{\aleph_0} is absolutely US-closed.*

By 2.2 and 2.3 the class of absolutely US-closed spaces is contained in the class of all sequentially compact US-spaces and contains the class of all countable sequentially compact US-spaces. We do not know if it is completely determined by the cardinality of the underlying space (in the spirit of the above conjecture). However the following can be proved.

THEOREM 2.6. – *Let X be absolutely US-closed and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$*

be a continuous map onto a US-space Y . Then Y is absolutely US-closed.

PROOF. — Assume that Y is not absolutely US-closed. Then Y can be embedded into a space $Y' \in \text{US}$ such that there exists $y \in Y$ in Y' and $y \notin Y$. By lemma 2.2 X and consequently Y are sequentially compact. Let S be a sequence in Y' such that $y = \lim S$ and $y \in \overline{S \circ S'(\mathbb{N})} \cap Y$ for every $S' \in \text{MON}$. Clearly S is eventually in $Y' \setminus Y$ because of $Y' \in \text{US}$ and the sequential compactness of Y . We can assume wlog that S is a one-to-one sequence in $Y' \setminus Y$.

By means of this sequence we construct a US-space Z containing X as a subspace. Set $Z = \{z\} \cup \mathbb{N} \cup X$ and extend f to Z defining $f(z) = y$ and $f(n) = S(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define a topology on X in the following way: the points of \mathbb{N} are isolated; a basic *nbd* of a point $x \in X$ has the form $U \cup F$ where U is an open *nbd* of x in X and $F = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : S(n) \in W\}$ where W is an open *nbd* of $f(x)$ in Y' such that $f(U) \subset W$; finally the *nbd*s of z are the preimages $f^{-1}(W)$ of *nbd*s W of y in Y' . Denote by S_0 the sequence in Z defined by the identity of \mathbb{N} . The extension Z of X has the following properties:

- i) a sequence S' in X converges to z in Z iff $f \circ S'$ converges to y in Y' ;
- ii) a subsequence S'' of S_0 converges to a point $x \in X$ iff $f \circ S''$ converges to $f(x)$ in Y' ;
- iii) for a subset D of \mathbb{N} and $x \in X$, $x \in \overline{D}$ in Z iff $f(x) \in \overline{f(D)}$ in Y' ;
- iv) for a subset D of X $z \in \overline{D}$ in Z iff $y \in \overline{f(D)}$ in Y' .

Now i), ii) and $Y' \in \text{US}$ yield $Z \in \text{US}$. Let S'' be a subsequence of S_0 , then $f(\overline{S''(\mathbb{N})} \cap X) = \overline{f \circ S''(\mathbb{N})} \cap Y$ by iii). Since $y \in Y$ in Y' , it follows that $y \in f \circ S''(\mathbb{N}) \cap Y$ in Y' . By iv) this gives $z \in \overline{S''(\mathbb{N})} \cap X$. Therefore $z \in X$ in Z . This contradicts the fact that X is absolutely US-closed. Q.E.D.

Finally we show that the classical notion of \mathfrak{F} -closedness is too restrictive for $\mathfrak{F} = \text{US}$ and SUS .

THEOREM 2.7. — *Every US-closed space is finite.*

PROOF. — By 1.3 every US-closed space is sequentially compact. Let now X be an infinite sequentially compact US-space, we are going to show that X is not US-closed.

Let S_0 be a one-to-one convergent sequence in X and let

$x = \lim S_0$. Let φ be a non-fixed ultrafilter on $S_0(\mathbb{N})$. We denote by φ also the ultrafilter generated by φ in X .

Denote by \mathcal{F} the filter on X generated by the open sets belonging to φ . Clearly the *nbd* filter \mathcal{U}_x of x is contained in \mathcal{F} , on the other hand $X \setminus \{x\} \in \mathcal{F}$, so $\bigcap \{U : U \in \mathcal{F}\} = \varphi$.

For every sequence S in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ which is not eventually the constant sequence $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}\} \in \lim S$, $x \in \lim S \circ S'$ holds for every $S' \in \text{MON}$ such that the sequence $S \circ S'$ is definitely in X .

Let S be a convergent sequence in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. If $\{\mathcal{F}\} \notin \lim S$, then clearly S has a unique limit point in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ since $X \in \text{US}$ and X is open in X , so S is eventually in X .

Suppose that $\{\mathcal{F}\} \in \lim S$. If S has no subsequence in X , then clearly S has a unique limit point. Assume that S has an infinite subsequence in X . In such a case we can assume wlog that S is entirely in X . It was remarked already that in such a case $x \in \lim S$. We consider now two cases: 1° $S(\mathbb{N}) \cap S_0(\mathbb{N})$ -finite; 2° S and S_0 have a common subsequences. In the case 1° for $A = S_0(\mathbb{N})$ observe that $[S(\mathbb{N})]_s \cap A$ is finite since S converges to x in X and $X \in \text{US}$. By lemma 1.2 there exists $S' \in \text{MON}$ such that $\overline{S \circ S'(\mathbb{N})} \cap A$ is finite. Then $U = X \setminus \overline{S \circ S'(\mathbb{N})} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}\} \cup U$ is a *nbd* of $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ which avoids the subsequence $S \circ S'$ of S . This contradicts $\{\mathcal{F}\} \in \lim S$.

In the case 2° we can assume wlog that S is a subsequence of S_0 . Since φ is an ultrafilter there exist $W \in \varphi$ with $W \not\ni x$ and $S' \in \text{MON}$ such that $S \circ S'$ is out of W . As noted above $S \circ S'$ converges to x , this is why $X \in \text{US}$ yields $[S \circ S'(\mathbb{N})]_s \cap W = \emptyset$. By lemma 1.2 there exists $S'' \in \text{MON}$ such that $\overline{S \circ S' \circ S''(\mathbb{N})} \cap W = \emptyset$. Then $U = X \setminus \overline{S \circ S' \circ S''(\mathbb{N})}$ is open in X and $U \supset W$, hence $U \in \varphi$ and consequently $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore $\{\mathcal{F}\} \cup U$ is an open *nbd* of $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ which avoids $S \circ S' \circ S''$, so S does not converge to $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ — a contradiction. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2.8. — *The SUS-closed spaces are precisely the SUS-spaces which are finite unions of convergent sequences. In particular every SUS-closed space is compact.*

PROOF. — The sufficiency is obvious.

Let X be an infinite SUS-closed spaces. We are going to show that every non-isolated point x of X has a *nbd* consisting of the range of a convergent one-to-one sequence in X (it will converge to x necessarily). Assume the contrary, then for every open *nbd* U of x and for every sequence S in X converging to x the set

$$(3) \quad U_s = U \setminus (S(\mathbb{N}) \cup \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$$

is open in X , since $S(\mathbb{N}) \cup \{x\}$ is closed because of $X \in \text{SUS}$. Denote by \mathcal{F} the open filter generated by the sets (3). Clearly every sequence S' in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ for which $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ is a cluster point has also x as a cluster point. By the choice of \mathcal{F} it follows that no convergent sequence in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ can have $\{\mathcal{F}\}$ as a cluster point. Therefore $X_{\mathcal{F}} \in \text{SUS}$ which contradicts the fact that X is SUS-closed since X is dense in $X_{\mathcal{F}}$.

It was proved in this way that for every $x \in X$ there exists a sequence S in X converging to x , such that $S(\mathbb{N})$ is a *nbd* of x . In particular X is a sequential space.

Assume now that X is not a finite union of convergent sequences. Consider the open filter \mathcal{K} on X generated by the complements of all possible unions

$$(4) \quad \bigcup_{m=1}^n \{x_m\} \cup S_m(\mathbb{N}),$$

where S_m is a sequence in X converging x_m . By the assumption the complements of the sets (4) are non-empty. On the other hand by Lemma 3.7.1 in [11] $X_{\mathcal{K}} \in \text{SUS}$. This is a contradiction since X is SUS-closed. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BERRI, *Minimal topological spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **108** (1963), 97-105.
- [2] M. BERRI - J. PORTER - R. STEPHENSON, JR., *A survey on minimal topological spaces*, Proc. Kanpur Top. Conf. 1968, Academic Press, New York, 1970, pp. 93-114.
- [3] N. BOURBAKI, *General topology*, Part 1, Addison-Wesley, 1966.
- [4] D. DIKRANJAN - E. GIULI, *Ordinal invariants and epimorphisms in some categories of weak Hausdorff spaces*, Comment Math. Univ. Carolin., **27**, 2 (1986), 395-417.
- [5] D. DIKRANJAN - E. GIULI, *$S(n)$ - θ -closed spaces* (to appear in Topology Appl.).
- [6] D. DIKRANJAN - E. GIULI, *Closure operators I* (to appear in Topology Appl.).
- [7] D. DIKRANJAN - E. GIULI, *Urysohn-closed spaces - old and new* (unpublished manuscript).
- [8] D. DIKRANJAN - E. GIULI - W. THOLEN, *Closure operators II* (a manuscript in preparation).
- [9] E. GIULI - M. HUSEK, *A diagonal theorem for epireflective subcategories of Top and cowell poweredness*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (to appear).

- [10] I. GOTCHEV, *Sequentially \mathcal{F} -closed spaces* (preprint).
- [11] R. HOFFMANN, *On weak Hausdorff spaces*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **32** (1979), 487-504.
- [12] A. TOZZI, *US-spaces and closure operators* (to appear in Proc. Conf. Topology, Taormina (Italy), 1984, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo).

Institute of Mathematics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
1090 Sofia, Bulgaria